



Data Highlights

School Justice Panels

Developed and operated by The IMPACT Project, Inc. as part of a partnership program with the Lehigh County Office of Juvenile Probation

As Presented By:
The IMPACT Project
407 Chestnut Street
Emmaus, Pennsylvania 18049
610-965-5599
November 14, 2011


Financially supported through a grant with The McArthur Foundation

Significant Outcomes and Descriptive Points


Data Highlights

- 149 youth were referred to School Justice Panels.
 - 105 youth were seen by the Panels.
 - 135 youth were seen by the Clinical Liaison.
- 36% of the youth received Tier II Testing.
 - 64% of the youth did not have significant results on the MAYSI and/or the drug and alcohol screening.
- 92% of youth who successfully completed their contract did not re-offend during the two year study.
- 22% of youth who failed their contract re-offended during the two year study.
- 66% of the Tier I youth were successful in completing their contract.
- 64% of the Tier II youth were successful in completing their contract.
- The panel of professionals, in conjunction with the Clinical Liaison, identified 17 youth (26%) who were not considered to be Tier II candidates as having significant Mental Health or Behavioral Health needs.
- Simple form and document modification from Year 1 to Year 2 reduced the failure to attend hearing rate by 50%.
- 61% of the referrals came from one middle school.
 - Clearly this program is sensitive to the support of the school and police personnel.


Mental Health Screening Determination for Level of Diversion




School Location of Referral Allentown School District - Middle Schools




Recidivism




Completion of SJP Contract




Reason for Failed Contract




Recommended Diversion Plan Contract Resolutions as Required by Panel




Youth Identified as MH/BH Needs
Youth Identified as Special Needs or Youth Diverted for MH/BH Services as
Required by Panel




Ethnicity



Age



Gender



**School Justice Panels - Lehigh County
Year One Data Summary
Program Totals – 2009-2010 School Year**

Youth referred to School Justice Panels

Breakdown of youths referred to SJP by Allentown School District Middle Schools	Francis D. Raub Middle School	41	48%
	Harrison-Morton Middle School *	18	21%
	South Mountain Middle School **	11	13%
	Trexler Middle School	15	18%
Total number of youth referred		85	
Total number of youth seen by Clinical Liaison		78	92%
Total number of youth seen by SJP		60	71%
Reason for youth not seen by SJP	Rejected by panel or police	6	7%
	Ineligible - prior offenses	2	2%
	Chose Court	0	0%
	Failed to return initial paperwork	5	6%
	Failed to show for hearing	11	13%
	School closing	0	0%
	Lack of panelists	0	0%
	Future hearing date	0	0%
	Sent to Community Justice Panel program	1	1%
	Total number of youth not seen by panel	25	29%
	Special needs youth not seen by panel ***	5	6%
	Non-special needs youth not seen by panel	20	24%

* *Harrison-Morton Middle School first referred cases beginning in January 2010.*

** *South Mountain Middle School experienced a change of principals after the beginning of the school year, as well as having a new School Resource Officer.*

*** *Special needs is operationally defined as those youths who are currently receiving special educational services (i.e. emotional or learning support) and/or have received mental health services prior to initial hearing*

Demographic Data for youth referred to SJP

Gender (N=85)			
	# Females	47	55%
	# Males	38	45%
Age	# 10-11	17	20%
	# 12-14	65	76%
	# 15 +	3	4%
Grade	# 6th	30	35%
	# 7th	29	34%
	# 8th	26	31%

Race * (N=78)			
	# White	8	10%
	# African-American	15	19%
	# Hispanic	47	60%
	# Mixed Race	5	6%
	# Other	3	4%
Ethnicity *	# Hispanic	47	60%
	# Non-Hispanic	31	40%

Special Education involvement * (N=78)		13	17%
Currently receiving mental health services (MH) *		16	21%
Prior drug and alcohol use *		1	1%
Truancy history *		7	9%
Number of youth who previously participated in: *	Drug and Alcohol Services (D&A)	0	0%
	Counseling / MH treatment **	24	31%
	Social Services / Children & Youth (CYS)	13	17%

** Determined after screening protocol and/or initial hearing. Categories are self-reported by youth.*

*** MH does not label a particular agency, but rather an intervention.*

Identification of Youth with MH/BH Needs

Total number of youth seen by Clinical Liaison		78	
Total number of youth seen by Clinical Liaison who were special needs (N=78)		30	38%
Total number of youth seen by SJP		60	77%
Number of youth seen by SJP who were special needs (N=60)		25	42%

# Tier I Youth * (N=78) <i>(received MAYSI-2 and SASSI)</i>	Youth screened	78	100%
# Tier II Youth * <i>(received Tier I testing, Jesness Inventory, and TSCS)</i>	Youth with recent assessments	0	0%
	Youth screened	30	38%

# Youth who received further psychiatric and/or psychological evaluations, per Youth Contract (N=60)		7	12%
---	--	---	-----

# Youth identified with MH/BH needs ** (N=78)		41	53%
# Youth identified with MH/BH needs who were seen by SJP (N=60)		36	60%

**** Tier One testing consists of administration of the Massachusetts Youth Screening Instrument – Version 2 (MAYSI-2) and the Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Instrument (SASSI), which is given to every student referred to SJP. If a student receives any of the following: Two caution scores, one warning score, or a caution score on the Suicidal Ideation scale, or a High Probability score on the SASSI, that student is given the Tier Two testing protocol. Tier Two testing consists of administration of the Jesness Inventory and the Tennessee Self-Concept Scale (TSCS).***

***** Mental health / Behavioral health needs is operationally defined as those youth who are special needs youth or have been assigned to MH / Counseling, per their Youth Contract.***

SJP Specific Data – Program Totals

Referral Source (N=85)	School Resource Officer	77	91%
	Juvenile Probation Department	6	7%
	Magisterial District Judge	2	2%
Completion of SJP Youth Contract (N=60)			
	Succeeded: Completed panel and discharged	39	65%
	Failed: referred for legal processing	21	35%
Reason for failed Youth Contract (N=60)			
	Reoffense	1	2%
	School disciplinary action	8	13%
	Failure to complete contract	12	20%
	Failure to show for review hearing	0	0%

Number of youth who accessed social services (N=60)	MH/BH	15	25%
	CYS	1	2%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	0	0%
Diversion Plan <i>(Note: More than one may apply per youth)</i> <i>("Other" refers to assignments such as letters of apology, research projects, art projects, etc.)</i>			
	MH/BH	25	42%
	CYS	1	2%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	0	0%
	Restitution	1	2%
	Community Service	3	5%
	Special Education program	0	0%
	Further psychiatric and/or psychological testing	7	12%
	Student Assistance Program	8	13%
	Peer social activity (i.e. school club/sport, community activity)	43	72%
Other (non-MH/BH)	56	93%	

Recidivism (N=39) # of youth who successfully completed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program completion:	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	0	0%
	7-9 months	N/A	
	10-12 months	N/A	

Recidivism (N=21) # of youth who failed the panel and were rearrested	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	1	5%

during these timeframes following program discharge:	7-9 months	N/A	
	10-12 months	N/A	

SJP Specific Data – Special Needs Youth

Referral Source (N=30)	School Resource Officer	28	93%
	Juvenile Probation Department	1	3%
	Magisterial District Judge	1	3%
Completion of SJP Youth Contract (N=25)			
	Succeeded: Completed panel and discharged	15	60%
	Failed: referred for legal processing	10	40%
Reason for failed Youth Contract (N=25)			
	Reoffense	0	0%
	School disciplinary action	5	20%
	Failure to complete contract	5	20%
	Failure to show for review hearing	0	0%

Number of youth who accessed social services (N=25)	MH/BH	7	28%
	CYS	1	4%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	0	0%
Diversion Plan (N=25) (Note: More than one may apply per youth)			
	MH/BH	14	56%
	CYS	1	4%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	0	0%
	Restitution	0	0%
	Community Service	2	8%
	Special Education program	0	0%
	Further psychiatric and/or psychological testing	4	16%
	Student Assistance Program	3	12%
	Peer social activity (i.e. school club/sport, community activity)	16	64%
Other (non-MH/BH)	23	92%	

Recidivism (N=15) # of youth who successfully completed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	0	0%
	7-9 months	N/A	

following program completion:	10-12 months	N/A	
-------------------------------	--------------	-----	--

Recidivism (N=10) # of youth who failed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program discharge:	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	1	10%
	7-9 months	N/A	
	10-12 months	N/A	

SJP Specific Data – Non-Special Needs Youth

Referral Source (N=55)	School Resource Officer	49	89%
	Juvenile Probation Department	5	9%
	Magisterial District Judge	1	2%
Completion of SJP Contract (N=35)			
	# of youth who successfully completed panel and were discharged	24	69%
	# of youth referred for legal processing	11	31%
Reason for failed Contract (N=35)			
	Reoffense	1	3%
	School disciplinary action	3	9%
	Failure to complete contract	7	20%
	Failure to show for review hearing	0	0%

Number of youth who accessed social services (N=35)	MH/BH Services	8	23%
	CYS Services	0	0%
	MR Services	0	0%
	D & A Services	0	0%
Diversion Plan (Note: More than one may apply per youth)			
	MH/BH Services	11	31%
	CYS Services	0	0%
	MR Services	0	0%
	D & A Services	0	0%
	Restitution	1	3%
	Community Service	1	3%
	Special Education program	0	0%
	Further P & P testing	3	9%
	Student Assistance Program	5	14%
	Peer social activity (i.e. school club/sport, community activity)	27	77%
Other (non-MH/BH)	33	94%	

Recidivism (N=24) # of youth who successfully completed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program completion:	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	0	0%
	7-9 months	N/A	
	10-12 months	N/A	

Recidivism (N=11) # of youth who failed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program discharge:	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	0	0%
	7-9 months	N/A	
	10-12 months	N/A	

**School Justice Panels - Lehigh County
Year Two Data Summary
Program Totals – 2010-2011 School Year**

Youth referred to School Justice Panels

Breakdown of youths referred to SJP by Allentown School District Middle Schools	Francis D. Raub Middle School	50	78%
	Harrison-Morton Middle School *	1	2%
	South Mountain Middle School **	7	11%
	Trexler Middle School	6	9%
Total number of youth referred		64	
Total number of youth seen by Clinical Liaison		57	89%
Total number of youth seen by SJP		45	70%
Reason for youth not seen by SJP (N=85) <i>(Note: More than one may apply per youth)</i>	Rejected by panel or police	1	1%
	Ineligible - prior offenses	4	5%
	Chose Court	0	0%
	Failed to return initial paperwork	0	0%
	Failed to show for hearing	7	8%
	School closing	0	0%
	Lack of panelists	0	0%
	Future hearing date	7	8%
	Sent to Community Justice Panel program	0	0%
	Total number of youth not seen by panel	19	22%
	Special needs youth not seen by panel ***	4	5%
	Non-special needs youth not seen by panel	10	12%
	Unknown if special needs not seen by panel	5	6%

* *Harrison-Morton Middle School first referred cases beginning in January 2010.*

** *South Mountain Middle School experienced a change of principals after the beginning of the school year, as well as having a new School Resource Officer.*

*** *Special needs is operationally defined as those youths who are currently receiving special educational services (i.e. emotional or learning support) and/or have received mental health services prior to initial hearing. This may not always be determined prior to initial hearing.*

Demographic Data for youth referred to SJP

Gender (N=64)			
	# Females	34	53%
	# Males	30	47%
Age	# 10-11	22	34%
	# 12-14	41	64%
	# 15 +	1	2%
Grade	# 6th	37	58%
	# 7th	8	12%
	# 8th	19	30%

Race * (N=57)			
	# White	1	2%
	# African-American	12	21%
	# Hispanic	42	54%
	# Mixed Race	0	0%
	# Other	2	4%
Ethnicity *	# Hispanic	42	74%
	# Non-Hispanic	15	26%

Special Education involvement * (N=57)		20	35%
Currently receiving mental health services (MH) *		5	9%
Prior drug and alcohol use *		1	2%
Truancy history *		3	5%
Number of youth who previously participated in: *	Drug and Alcohol Services (D&A)	0	0%
	Counseling / MH treatment **	17	30%
	Social Services / Children & Youth (CYS)	4	7%

** Determined after screening protocol and/or initial hearing. Categories are self-reported by youth.*

*** MH does not label a particular agency, but rather an intervention.*

Identification of Youth with MH/BH Needs

Total number of youth seen by Clinical Liaison		57	
Total number of youth seen by Clinical Liaison who were special needs (N=57)		22	39%
Total number of youth seen by SJP		45	79%
Number of youth seen by SJP who were special needs (N=45)		23	51%

# Tier I Youth * (N=57) <i>(received MAYSI-2 and Secondary Substance Questionnaire)</i>	Youth screened	57	100%
# Tier II Youth * <i>(received Tier I testing, Jesness Inventory, and TSCS)</i>	Youth with recent assessments	0	0%
	Youth screened	19	33%

# Youth who received further psychiatric and/or psychological evaluations, per Youth Contract (N=45)		7	16%
---	--	---	-----

# Youth identified with MH/BH needs ** (N=57)		33	58%
# Youth identified with MH/BH needs who were seen by SJP (N=45)		30	67%

** Tier One testing consists of administration of the Massachusetts Youth Screening Instrument – Version 2 (MAYSI-2) and the Secondary Substance Questionnaire, which is given to every student referred to SJP. If a student receives any of the following: Two caution scores, one warning score, or a caution score on the Suicidal Ideation scale, or a High Probability score on the SASSI, that student is given the Tier Two testing protocol. Tier Two testing consists of administration of the Jesness Inventory and the Tennessee Self-Concept Scale (TSCS).*

*** Mental health / Behavioral health needs is operationally defined as those youth who are special needs youth or have been assigned to MH / Counseling or school testing for emotional/behavioral issues, per their Youth Contract.*

SJP Specific Data – Program Totals

Referral Source (N=64)	School Resource Officer	61	95%
	Juvenile Probation Department	0	0%
	Magisterial District Judge	3	5%
Completion of SJP Youth Contract (N=35)			
	Succeeded: Completed panel and discharged	23	66%
	Failed: referred for legal processing	12	34%
Reason for failed Youth Contract (N=35)			
	Reoffense	4	11%
	School disciplinary action	6	17%
	Failure to complete contract	1	3%
	Failure to show for review hearing	1	3%

Number of youth who accessed social services (N=35)	MH/BH	5	14%
	CYS	0	0%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	0	0%
Diversion Plan (N=35) <i>(Note: More than one may apply per youth)</i> <i>("Other" refers to assignments such as letters of apology, research projects, art projects, etc.)</i>			
	MH/BH	11	31%
	CYS	0	0%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	1	3%
	Restitution	0	0%
	Community Service	3	9%
	Special Education program (testing for ES/LS)	2	6%
	Further psychiatric and/or psychological testing	2	6%
	Student Assistance Program	7	20%
	Peer social activity (i.e. school club/sport, community activity)	19	54%
	Other (non-MH/BH)	32	91%

Recidivism (N=23) # of youth who successfully completed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program completion:	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	1	4%
	7-9 months	3	13%
	10-12 months	1	4%

Recidivism (N=12) # of youth who failed the panel and were rearrested	1-3 months	2	17%
	4-6 months	1	8%

during these timeframes following program discharge:	7-9 months	1	8%
	10-12 months	2	17%

SJP Specific Data – Special Needs Youth

Referral Source (N=26)	School Resource Officer	26	100%
	Juvenile Probation Department	0	0%
	Magisterial District Judge	0	0%
Completion of SJP Youth Contract (N=16)			
	Succeeded: Completed panel and discharged	8	50%
	Failed: referred for legal processing	8	50%
Reason for failed Youth Contract (N=16)			
	Reoffense	2	13%
	School disciplinary action	6	38%
	Failure to complete contract	0	0%
	Failure to show for review hearing	0	0%

Number of youth who accessed social services (N=16)	MH/BH	2	13%
	CYS	1	6%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	0	0%
Diversion Plan (N=16) (Note: More than one may apply per youth)			
	MH/BH	6	38%
	CYS	0	0%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	1	6%
	Restitution	0	0%
	Community Service	2	130%
	Special Education program (testing for ES/LS)	1	6%
	Further psychiatric and/or psychological testing	2	13%
	Student Assistance Program	0	0%
	Peer social activity (i.e. school club/sport, community activity)	9	56%
	Other (non-MH/BH)	15	94%

Recidivism (N=8) # of youth who successfully completed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	0	0%
	7-9 months	2	25%

following program completion:	10-12 months	1	13%
-------------------------------	--------------	---	-----

Recidivism (N=8) # of youth who failed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program discharge:	1-3 months	2	25%
	4-6 months	2	25%
	7-9 months	0	0%
	10-12 months	1	13%

SJP Specific Data – Non-Special Needs Youth

Referral Source (N=38)	School Resource Officer	35	92%
	Juvenile Probation Department	0	0%
	Magisterial District Judge	3	8%
Completion of SJP Contract (N=19)			
	# of youth who successfully completed panel and were discharged	15	79%
	# of youth referred for legal processing	4	21%
Reason for failed Contract (N=19)			
	Reoffense	2	11%
	School disciplinary action	0	0%
	Failure to complete contract	1	5%
	Failure to show for review hearing	1	5%

Number of youth who accessed social services (N=19)	MH/BH Services	3	16%
	CYS Services	0	0%
	MR Services	0	0%
	D & A Services	0	0%
Diversion Plan (Note: More than one may apply per youth)			
	MH/BH Services	5	26%
	CYS Services	0	0%
	MR Services	0	0%
	D & A Services	0	0%
	Restitution	0	0%
	Community Service	1	5%
	Special Education program (testing for ES/LS)	1	5%
	Further P & P testing	0	0%
	Student Assistance Program	7	37%
	Peer social activity (i.e. school club/sport, community activity)	10	53%
Other (non-MH/BH)	17	89%	

Recidivism (N=15) # of youth who successfully completed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program completion:	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	1	7%
	7-9 months	1	7%
	10-12 months	0	0%

Recidivism (N=4) # of youth who failed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program discharge:	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	0	0%
	7-9 months	1	25%
	10-12 months	1	25%

School Justice Panels - Lehigh County
Two Year Comprehensive Data Summary
Program Totals: July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2011

Youth referred to School Justice Panels

Breakdown of youths referred to SJP by Allentown School District Middle Schools	Francis D. Raub Middle School	91	61%
	Harrison-Morton Middle School *	19	13%
	South Mountain Middle School **	18	12%
	Trexler Middle School	21	14%
Total number of youth referred		149	
Total number of youth seen by Clinical Liaison		135	91%
Total number of youth seen by SJP		105	70%
Reason for youth not seen by SJP (N=149) <i>(Note: More than one may apply per youth)</i>	Rejected by panel or police	7	5%
	Ineligible - prior offenses	6	4%
	Chose Court	0	0%
	Failed to return initial paperwork	5	3%
	Failed to show for hearing	18	12%
	School closing	0	0%
	Lack of panelists	0	0%
	Future hearing date	7	5%
	Sent to Community Justice Panel program	1	1%
	Total number of youth not seen by panel	44	30%
	Special needs youth not seen by panel ***	9	6%
	Non-special needs youth not seen by panel	30	20%
	Unknown if special needs not seen by panel	5	3%

* *Harrison-Morton Middle School first referred cases beginning in January 2010.*

** *South Mountain Middle School experienced a change of principals after the beginning of the school year, as well as having a new School Resource Officer.*

*** *Special needs is operationally defined as those youths who are currently receiving special educational services (i.e. emotional or learning support) and/or have received mental health services prior to initial hearing. This may not always be determined prior to initial hearing.*

Demographic Data for youth referred to SJP

Gender (N=149)			
	# Females	81	54%
	# Males	68	46%
Age	# 10-11	39	26%
	# 12-14	106	71%
	# 15 +	4	3%
Grade	# 6th	67	45%
	# 7th	37	25%
	# 8th	45	30%

Race * (N=135)			
	# White	9	7%
	# African-American	27	20%
	# Hispanic	89	66%
	# Mixed Race	5	4%
	# Other	5	4%
Ethnicity *	# Hispanic	89	66%
	# Non-Hispanic	46	34%

Special Education involvement * (N=135)		33	24%
Currently receiving mental health services (MH) *		21	16%
Prior drug and alcohol use *		2	1%
Truancy history *		10	7%
Number of youth who previously participated in: *	Drug and Alcohol Services (D&A)	0	0%
	Counseling / MH treatment **	41	30%
	Social Services / Children & Youth (CYS)	17	13%

** Determined after screening protocol and/or initial hearing. Categories are self-reported by youth.*

*** MH does not label a particular agency, but rather an intervention.*

Identification of Youth with MH/BH Needs

Total number of youth seen by Clinical Liaison		135	
Total number of youth seen by Clinical Liaison who were special needs (N=135)		52	39%
Total number of youth seen by SJP		105	78%
Number of youth seen by SJP who were special needs (N=105)		48	36%

# Tier I Youth * (N=135) <i>(received MAYSI-2 and Secondary Substance Questionnaire)</i>			
	Youth screened	135	100%
# Tier II Youth * <i>(received Tier I testing, Jesness Inventory, and TSCS)</i>	Youth with recent assessments	0	0%
	Youth screened	49	36%

# Youth who received further psychiatric and/or psychological evaluations, per Youth Contract (N=105)		14	13%
--	--	----	-----

# Youth identified with MH/BH needs ** (N=135)		74	55%
# Youth identified with MH/BH needs who were seen were seen by SJP (N=105)		66	63%

**** Tier One testing consists of administration of the Massachusetts Youth Screening Instrument – Version 2 (MAYSI-2) and the Secondary Substance Questionnaire, which is given to every student referred to SJP. If a student receives any of the following: Two caution scores, one warning score, or a caution score on the Suicidal Ideation scale, or a High Probability score on the SASSI, that student is given the Tier Two testing protocol. Tier Two testing consists of administration of the Jesness Inventory and the Tennessee Self-Concept Scale (TSCS).***

***** Mental health / Behavioral health needs is operationally defined as those youth who are special needs youth or have been assigned to MH / Counseling or school testing for emotional/behavioral issues, per their Youth Contract.***

SJP Specific Data – Program Totals

Referral Source (N=149)	School Resource Officer	138	93%
	Juvenile Probation Department	6	4%
	Magisterial District Judge	5	3%
Completion of SJP Youth Contract (N=957)			
	Succeeded: Completed panel and discharged	62	65%
	Failed: referred for legal processing	33	35%
Reason for failed Youth Contract (N=95)			
	Reoffense	5	5%
	School disciplinary action	14	15%
	Failure to complete contract	13	14%
	Failure to show for review hearing	1	1%

Number of youth who accessed social services (N=95)	MH/BH	20	21%
	CYS	1	1%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	0	0%
Diversion Plan (N=95) <i>(Note: More than one may apply per youth)</i> <i>("Other" refers to assignments such as letters of apology, research projects, art projects, etc.)</i>			
	MH/BH	36	38%
	CYS	1	1%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	1	1%
	Restitution	1	1%
	Community Service	6	67%
	Special Education program (testing for ES/LS)	2	2%
	Further psychiatric and/or psychological testing	9	9%
	Student Assistance Program	15	16%
	Peer social activity (i.e. school club/sport, community activity)	62	65%
Other (non-MH/BH)	88	93%	

Recidivism (N=62) # of youth who successfully completed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program completion:	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	1	2%
	7-9 months	3	5%
	10-12 months	1	2%

Recidivism (N=33) # of youth who failed the panel and were rearrested	1-3 months	2	6%
	4-6 months	2	6%

during these timeframes following program discharge:	7-9 months	1	3%
	10-12 months	2	6%

SJP Specific Data – Special Needs Youth

Referral Source (N=56)	School Resource Officer	54	96%
	Juvenile Probation Department	1	2%
	Magisterial District Judge	1	2%
Completion of SJP Youth Contract (N=41)			
	Succeeded: Completed panel and discharged	23	56%
	Failed: referred for legal processing	18	44%
Reason for failed Youth Contract (N=41)			
	Reoffense	2	5%
	School disciplinary action	11	27%
	Failure to complete contract	5	12%
	Failure to show for review hearing	0	0%

Number of youth who accessed social services (N=41)	MH/BH	9	22%
	CYS	1	2%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	0	0%
Diversion Plan (N=41) (Note: More than one may apply per youth)			
	MH/BH	20	49%
	CYS	1	2%
	MR	0	0%
	D&A	1	2%
	Restitution	0	0%
	Community Service	4	10%
	Special Education program (testing for ES/LS)	1	2%
	Further psychiatric and/or psychological testing	6	15%
	Student Assistance Program	3	7%
	Peer social activity (i.e. school club/sport, community activity)	25	61%
	Other (non-MH/BH)	38	93%

Recidivism (N=23) # of youth who successfully completed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	0	0%
	7-9 months	2	9%

following program completion:	10-12 months	1	4%
-------------------------------	--------------	---	----

Recidivism (N=18) # of youth who failed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program discharge:	1-3 months	2	11%
	4-6 months	2	11%
	7-9 months	0	0%
	10-12 months	1	6%

SJP Specific Data – Non-Special Needs Youth

Referral Source (N=93)	School Resource Officer	84	90%
	Juvenile Probation Department	5	5%
	Magisterial District Judge	4	4%
Completion of SJP Contract (N=54)			
	# of youth who successfully completed panel and were discharged	39	72%
	# of youth referred for legal processing	15	28%
Reason for failed Contract (N=54)			
	Reoffense	3	6%
	School disciplinary action	3	6%
	Failure to complete contract	8	15%
	Failure to show for review hearing	1	2%

Number of youth who accessed social services (N=54)	MH/BH Services	11	24%
	CYS Services	0	0%
	MR Services	0	0%
	D & A Services	0	0%
Diversion Plan (N=54) (Note: More than one may apply per youth)			
	MH/BH Services	16	30%
	CYS Services	0	0%
	MR Services	0	0%
	D & A Services	0	0%
	Restitution	1	2%
	Community Service	2	4%
	Special Education program (testing for ES/LS)	1	2%
	Further P & P testing	3	6%
	Student Assistance Program	12	22%
	Peer social activity (i.e. school club/sport, community activity)	37	69%
Other (non-MH/BH)	50	93%	

Recidivism (N=39) # of youth who successfully completed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program completion:	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	1	3%
	7-9 months	1	3%
	10-12 months	0	0%

Recidivism (N=15) # of youth who failed the panel and were rearrested during these timeframes following program discharge:	1-3 months	0	0%
	4-6 months	0	0%
	7-9 months	1	7%
	10-12 months	1	7%